

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/538,364	06/13/2005	Shiro Shibayama	Q88494 6855	
65565 SUGHRUE-26	7590 01/31/2008		EXAMINER	
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVE. NW			MERTZ, PREMA MARIA	
WASHINGTON, DC 20037-3213			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		1646	1646	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/31/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/538,364	SHIBAYAMA ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Prema M. Mertz	1646		

	Troma in. mora	1040	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>14 January 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, a tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) ir	iffidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) $\square$ The period for reply expires $\underline{5}$ months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (box 1).	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mail	ng date of the final rejecti	on.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70		iz i ino i nei e i imo i	1225 111111111
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amous shortened statutory period for reply or than three months after the mailing o	nt of the fee. The appropriginally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on . A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must b	e filed within two montl	ns of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)),	to avoid dismissal of th	
3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brid	ef, will <u>not</u> be entered b	ecause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see N	OTE below);	
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo			
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in being appeal; and/or			the issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally r	ejected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
4.  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-0	Compliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
<ol><li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li></ol>	:·		
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	·	·	
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		will be entered and an o	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>9 and 33</u> .	,		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	•		
<ul> <li>AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE</li> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ul>			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under app	eal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	at does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	-	
10. [_] Outer,		Prema M Mertz Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1646	5

## **Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)**

**Application No. 10/538,364** 

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Claim 9 has been amended to recite that the compound "is bound to the region of the epitope determined by the antibodies 45531.111 and 45523.111". This limitation precipitates several 35 USC 112, second paragraph rejections. There is lack of antecedent basis in claim 9 for "the region", "the epitope" and "the antibodies". Furthermore, it is unclear what the epitope is. Therefore, claim 9 remains rejected under 35 USC 112, second paragraph and under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as unpatentable over WO 98/18826. (Leucosite, Inc.).

New claim 34 precipitates a new 35 USC 112, second paragraph rejection because it is unclear what the "8 times washing condition" is.